



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,323	06/24/1999	RICHARD G. HARTMANN	EN998070	8931
7:	590 06/17/2003			
IBM CORPORATION - DEPT. 917			EXAMINER	
3605 HIGHWA ROCHESTER,	NY 52 NORTH MN 55901-7829		NGUYEN, HAI V	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	18
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		PPS		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisom, Action	09/344,323	HARTMANN ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.					
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) □ approved or b) □ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-			
10 Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The issues of "...; responsive to said browser determining from said data file header that data file data type and size are in accordance with said request for data, receiving from said browser a GET request, said browser responsive to either said data file data type or said size not being in accordance with said request for data, not issuing said GET request to said server; and thereafter responsive to said GET request, serving to said browser data corresponding to said header." in claim 1 and "...; a third logic element for receiving from said browser a GET request responsive to said browser determining that said data type indicia and data size indicia match a user request, said browser blocking said GET request in the event that said data type indicia and said data size indicia d not match said user request; and .." in claim 11, change the scope of the claims as originally presented and required further search and consideration.

MARK POWELL

Mark R. Powell

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

HD)